Weyl correspondence and P-representation as operator Fredholm equations and their solutions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 3910849
(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/39/34/016)
View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 171.66.16.106
The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 04:48

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Weyl correspondence and P-representation as operator Fredholm equations and their solutions 

Hong-yi Fan ${ }^{1,2,3}$ and John R Klauder ${ }^{4}$<br>${ }^{1}$ CCAST (World Laboratory), PO Box 8730, 100080, Beijing, People's Republic of China<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, People's Republic of China<br>${ }^{3}$ Department of Material Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, People's Republic of China<br>${ }^{4}$ Departments of Physics and Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-8440, USA

Received 16 May 2006, in final form 14 July 2006
Published 9 August 2006
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/10849


#### Abstract

Using the technique of integration within an ordered product of operators we construct operator Fredholm equations, which can help us to re-formulize the Weyl correspondence and P-representation. We then search for their solutions which present new formulae for deriving quantum operators' Weyl classical correspondence and P-representation. As the application, we deduce some new relations about the two-variable Hermite polynomials.


PACS numbers: $03.65 . \mathrm{Bz}, 42.50 . \mathrm{Dv}$

## 1. Introduction

Dirac's ket-bra operators are basic blocks in quantum representation theory [1]. By considering ket versus bra as special mathematical symbols that include non-commutative operators, in a recent paper (2006 Ann. Phys. 321 480) [2] we have summarized the technique of integration within an ordered product (IWOP) of operators which enables Newton-Leibniz integration rules directly working for Dirac's ket-bra operators with continuum variables. For instance, using the coordinate eigenstate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q\rangle=\pi^{-1 / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{2}+\sqrt{2} q a^{\dagger}-\frac{a^{\dagger 2}}{2}\right)|0\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a^{\dagger}$ is the creation operator, $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state annihilated by $a$, and $Q|q\rangle=q|q\rangle$, and the normally ordered form of vacuum projector $|0\rangle\langle 0|=: \mathrm{e}^{-a^{\dagger} a}$ :, we can directly perform
the integration

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1} \equiv & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\sqrt{\mu}}\left|\frac{q}{\mu}\right\rangle\langle q|=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \exp \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{2 \mu^{2}}+\sqrt{2} \frac{q}{\mu} a^{\dagger}-\frac{a^{\dagger 2}}{2}\right)|0\rangle\langle 0| \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{2}+\sqrt{2} q a-\frac{a^{2}}{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}}: \exp \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\mu^{2}}\right)+\sqrt{2} q\left(\frac{a^{\dagger}}{\mu}+a\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right): \\
= & (\operatorname{sech} \lambda)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{a^{\dagger 2}}{2} \tanh \lambda}: \mathrm{e}^{(\operatorname{sech} \lambda-1) a^{\dagger} a}: \mathrm{e}^{\frac{a^{2}}{2} \tanh \lambda}, \quad \mu=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $a$ commutes with $a^{\dagger}$ within : :, so $a^{\dagger}$ and $a$ can be considered as if they were parameters while the integration is performed. Equation (2) is just the single-mode squeezing operator in normal ordering appearing in many references [3, 4]. It inspires a physical interpretation of some of the mathematical quantities employed in the theory: the classical dilation $q \rightarrow \frac{q}{\mu}$ maps into the normally ordered squeezing operator manifestly. It also exhibits that the fundamental representation theory can be formulated in not so abstract a way, as we can now directly perform the integral over ket-bra projection operators. Moreover, the IWOP technique can be employed to perform many complicated integrations for ket-bra projection operators.

In particular, for $\mu=1$, equation (2) becomes the normally ordered Gaussian form

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q|q\rangle\langle q| & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\sqrt{\pi}}: \exp \left(-q^{2}+2 q\left(\frac{a+a^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right): \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\sqrt{\pi}}: \mathrm{e}^{-(q-Q)^{2}}:=1, \quad \text { (a real simple Gaussan integration!) } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q=\frac{a+a^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}},\left[a, a^{\dagger}\right]=1$. In this work we shall show how the IWOP technique can help us to set up the normally ordered operator Fredholm equation [5] for Weyl-Wigner correspondence [6, 7] and P-representation [8, 9], respectively. We then search for these equations' solutions which present new formulae for deriving quantum operators' Weyl classical correspondence and P-representation. To illustrate what is a normally ordered operator Fredholm equation we take an example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q: \mathrm{e}^{-(q-Q)^{2}}: \varphi(q)=: f(Q): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the normally ordered operator : $\exp \left[-(q-Q)^{2}\right]$ : is as an integral kernel. On the other hand, using (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q: \mathrm{e}^{-(q-Q)^{2}}: \varphi(q)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q|q\rangle\langle q| \varphi(q)=\varphi(Q) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4) and (5) we know the normally ordered expansion of $\varphi(Q)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(Q)=: f(Q): . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a new way to normally ordered expanding of an operator. We now search for the solution to the Fredholm equation (4); substituting the following expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
: \mathrm{e}^{-(q-Q)^{2}}:=\mathrm{e}^{-q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}: H_{n}(q) \frac{Q^{n}}{n!}:, \quad \varphi(q)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{m} H_{m}(q) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H_{n}(q)=2^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]} \frac{(-1)^{k} n!}{2^{2 k} k!(n-2 k)!} q^{n-2 k}
$$

is the single-variable Hermite polynomials, into (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q: \mathrm{e}^{-q^{2}} H_{n}(q) H_{m}(q) \frac{Q^{n}}{n!} b_{m}:=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m} b_{m}: Q^{m}:=: f(Q):: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the coherent state expectation value for (8), we see

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m} b_{m}\langle z|: Q^{m}:|z\rangle & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m} b_{m}(\sqrt{2} x)^{m} \\
& =\langle z|: f(Q):|z\rangle=f(\sqrt{2} x), \quad z=x+\mathrm{i} y \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|z\rangle=\exp \left[-|z|^{2} / 2+z a^{\dagger}\right]|0\rangle$ is the coherent state $[8,10]$. After differentiating both sides of (9) $m$ times with respect to $\sqrt{2} x$ and then setting $x=0$, we obtain $f^{(m)}(0)=m!2^{m} b_{m}$; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{2^{n} n!} H_{n}(q) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(Q)=: f(Q):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{2^{n} n!} H_{n}(Q) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, when in $(4): f(Q):=: Q^{n}:$, we see $f^{(m)}(0)=\delta_{n, m} m!$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
: Q^{n}:=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{n, m} m!}{2^{m} m!} H_{m}(Q)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} H_{n}(Q) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so : $2^{n} Q^{n}$ : is the normally ordered expansion of $H_{n}(Q)$, which is an easily remembered operator formula. Equation (12) has many advantages in dealing with the properties of Hermite polynomials since : $Q^{n}$ : is more readily handled than $H_{n}(Q)$. For example, we can use (12) to easily check the generating function formula of Hermite polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} H_{n}(Q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 t)^{n}}{n!}: Q^{n}:=: \mathrm{e}^{2 t Q}:=: \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{2} t\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)}:=\mathrm{e}^{2 t Q-t^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having experienced the simplest operator Fredholm equation (4) we, in section 2, shall set up operator Fredholm equations for Weyl correspondence and P-representation, respectively; as one can see later, in this way we can re-formulize these two theories and derive some new operator identities.

## 2. Operator Fredholm equation with use of : $\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}$ :

The Weyl correspondence rule [6] is a recipe for the quantization of functions defined in classical phase space. According to this rule, a classical function $h(q, p)$ corresponds to its quantum operator $H(Q, P)$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(Q, P)=\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q \mathrm{~d} p \Delta(q, p) h(q, p) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $H, P$ and $Q$ correspond to the classical quantities $h, p$ and $q$, respectively, the Wigner operator $\Delta(p, q)$ is the integral kernel of the quantization scheme [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(p, q)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{e}^{i p u}\left|q-\frac{u}{2}\right\rangle\left\langle q+\frac{u}{2}\right|, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the state $\left|q-\frac{u}{2}\right\rangle$ is given by (1). Similarly to what we have done for (2), using the IWOP technique we perform the integral in (15) to yield its explicit Gaussian form [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(p, q)=\frac{1}{\pi}: \mathrm{e}^{-(p-P)^{2}-(q-Q)^{2}}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the momentum operator $P$ is related to Bose operators by $P=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2}}\left(a-a^{\dagger}\right)$. Equation (16) immediately leads to the correct marginal distributions $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \Delta(q, p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}: \mathrm{e}^{-(q-Q)^{2}}:=$ $|q\rangle\langle q|$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} q \Delta(q, p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}: \mathrm{e}^{-(p-P)^{2}}:=|p\rangle\langle p|$, where $|p\rangle$ is the momentum eigenvector. Due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Delta(q, p) \Delta\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right]=\delta\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(p-p^{\prime}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(q, p)=2 \pi \operatorname{Tr}[\Delta(q, p) H(Q, P)], \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the usual formula to derive the classical Weyl correspondence of $H(Q, P)$.
Now we recast the Weyl correspondence theory into a new formalism, i.e., we construct an operator Fredholm equation for the Weyl correspondence and then search for its solution. In this way some properties of the two-variable Hermite polynomials can be directly derived.

Letting $\alpha=(q+\mathrm{i} p) / \sqrt{2}$, equation (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi}: \mathrm{e}^{-(p-P)^{2}-(q-Q)^{2}}:=\frac{1}{\pi}: \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}: \equiv \Delta\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

writing $h(q, p) \equiv g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)$, then the Weyl correspondence (14) takes another form,

$$
\begin{align*}
H(Q, P) \rightarrow G\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right) & =2 \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha \Delta\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha: \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}: g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

When we perform the integration within : : in (20) with the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right):, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we set up

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha: \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}: g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a normally ordered integration equation (the Fredholm equation of the first kind [5] with the kernel being : $\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}$ :). Instead of using (18) we aim to derive $g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)$ from the given normally ordered operator : $F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)$ : by solving equation (22). The advantage in doing so lies in that some new relations about the two-variable Hermite polynomials can naturally be deduced.

## 3. New formula for deriving Weyl's classical correspondence

In [12] we have shown that the generalized Bargmann representation of the two-mode number state $|m, n\rangle$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m, n\rangle=\frac{a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}}|00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} H_{m, n}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{m, n}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)$ is the two-variable Hermite polynomial [13]

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{m, n}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\min (m, n)} \frac{m!n!}{l!(m-l)!(n-l)!}(-1)^{l} \xi^{m-l} \xi^{* n-l},  \tag{24}\\
& {\left[H_{m, n}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)\right]^{*}=H_{m, n}\left(\xi^{*}, \xi\right)=H_{n, m}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right),}
\end{align*}
$$

which is not a direct product of two independent single-variable Hermite polynomials. We say that $H_{m, n}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)$ is the basis of the generalized Bargmann space because it spans an orthonormal and complete function space,
$2 \iint \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-2|\xi|^{2}} H_{m, n}\left(\sqrt{2} \xi, \sqrt{2} \xi^{*}\right)\left[H_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}\left(\sqrt{2} \xi, \sqrt{2} \xi^{*}\right)\right]^{*}=\sqrt{m!n!m^{\prime}!n^{\prime}!} \delta_{m, m^{\prime}} \delta_{n, n^{\prime}}$,
so we can expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{m, n}$ is the expansion coefficient to be determined. On the other hand, using the generating function of $H_{m, n}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{m} t^{\prime n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{*}\right)=\exp \left\{-t t^{\prime}+t \lambda+t^{\prime} \lambda^{*}\right\}  \tag{27}\\
& \text { or } \quad H_{m, n}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{*}\right)=\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t^{m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial t^{\prime n}} \exp \left\{-t t^{\prime}+t \lambda+t^{\prime} \lambda^{*}\right\}\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

we can expand the normally ordered form of $\Delta\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)$ in (19) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-2|\alpha|^{2}}: \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\sqrt{2} a^{\dagger}\right)^{m}(\sqrt{2} a)^{n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right): \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-2|\alpha|^{2}}: \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} \frac{a^{\dagger m} a^{n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right): \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (26) and (29) into the normally ordered Fredholm equation (22) and using (25) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(22)= & \int \frac{2 \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-2|\alpha|^{2}}: \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} \frac{a^{\dagger m} a^{n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \\
& \times: \sum_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} C_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}} H_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \\
= & \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n} \sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} a^{\dagger m} a^{n}:=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the coherent state expectation values of (30), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle z|: \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n} \sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} a^{\dagger m} a^{n}:|z\rangle=\langle z|: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right):|z\rangle, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n} \sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} z^{* m} z^{n}=F\left(z, z^{*}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

SO

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m, n}=\left.\frac{\partial^{m} \partial^{n}}{\sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} m!n!\partial z^{* m} \partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (33) into (26) we obtain the solution of the Fredholm equation when $\langle z|$ : $F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right):|z\rangle=F\left(z, z^{*}\right)$ is known,
$g\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)=\left.\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!\sqrt{2^{(m+n)}}} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial z^{* m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0}$.
This is a new formula for deriving Weyl's classical correspondence of normally ordered quantum operators. For example, when : $F_{1}\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right):=a^{\dagger m} a^{n}$, from (34) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{(m+n)}}} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (22) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha: \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}: H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right)=\sqrt{2^{(m+n)}} a^{\dagger m} a^{n} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enlightens us to obtain a new integration formula about $H_{m, n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \xi}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\xi^{*}-\varsigma^{*}\right)(\xi-\varsigma)} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)=\left(\varsigma^{*}\right)^{m} \varsigma^{n} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a non-trivial generalization of the mathematical formula [14, 18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{e}^{-(x-y)^{2}} H_{n}(x)=\sqrt{\pi}(2 y)^{n} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we know the Weyl correspondence of $a^{\dagger m} a^{n}$ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+n}}} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+n}}} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right)=2 \pi \operatorname{Tr}\left[a^{\dagger m} a^{n} \Delta\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correctness of (34) can be confirmed by substituting (34) into the Weyl correspondence formula (22), which exhibits

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!\sqrt{2^{m+n}}} \int \frac{2 \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{\pi}: \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}: H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2} \alpha, \sqrt{2} \alpha^{*}\right) \\
\times\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial z^{* m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0}=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

and then using (37) we see that the left-hand side of (40) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} & : a^{\dagger m} a^{n}:\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial z^{* m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0} \\
& =: \exp \left\{a^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{*}}+a \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\}:\left.F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0}=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

the right-hand side means that an operator's coherent state expectation value $F\left(z, z^{*}\right)$ can decide the operator itself, which is a known result [15, 16], since the coherent states are overcomplete. Hence the solution (35) is correct.

As a by-product, we see that Weyl correspondence (20)-(21) can be recast into the form (41), which seems new.

## 3.1. $P$-representation as an operator Fredholm equation-deriving $P(z)$ from $\rho$

Glauber [8] and Sudarshan [9] used the overcomplete set of coherent state $|z\rangle$ [10] to introduce the diagonal representation of the density matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} z}{\pi} P(z)|z\rangle\langle z| . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though the $P(z)$ function (named as P-representation) has found widespread applications in quantum optics, it cannot be interpreted as a genuine probability distribution because it may take on negative values or become highly singular. The reverse relation of (42) is Mehta's formula [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{|z|^{2}} \int\langle-\beta| \rho|\beta\rangle \exp \left[|\beta|^{2}-\beta z^{*}+\beta^{*} z\right] \mathrm{d}^{2} \beta \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\beta\rangle$ is also a coherent state. In this section, using $|z\rangle\langle z|=: \exp \left[-\left(z^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(z-a)\right]:$, we can rewrite (42) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} z}{\pi} P(z): \exp \left[-\left(z^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(z-a)\right]:, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the density matrix is a Gaussian convolution of the $P$ function within $::$. When we perform the integration within : : in (44) and find its result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha: \exp \left[-\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)\right]: P(\alpha)=: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a normally ordered Fredholm equation of the first kind with the kernel : $\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}$ :. We aim to derive $P(\alpha)$ from the given operator : $F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)$ : by solving equation (46).

For this purpose, we expand the $P$ function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\alpha)=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n}^{\prime} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right), \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{m, n}^{\prime}$ is the expansion coefficient to be determined. On the other hand, using (27), we can expand: $\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}$ : as

$$
\begin{equation*}
: \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}-a^{\dagger}\right)(\alpha-a)}:=: \mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\dagger m} a^{n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (47) and (48) into equation (46) and using (25) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(45) \rightarrow: \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\dagger m} a^{n}}{m!n!} H_{m, n}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \sum_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} C_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}^{\prime} H_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right): \\
=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n}^{\prime}: a^{\dagger m} a^{n}:=\rho\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right) \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the coherent state expectation values of (49) we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle z|: \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n}^{\prime} a^{\dagger m} a^{n}:|z\rangle=\langle z|: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right):|z\rangle \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} C_{m, n}^{\prime} z^{* m} z^{n}=F\left(z, z^{*}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m, n}^{\prime}=\left.\frac{\partial^{m} \partial^{n}}{m!n!\partial z^{* m} \partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (52) into (47) we obtain the solution of Fredholm equation when $\langle z|: F\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right)$ : $|z\rangle=F\left(z, z^{*}\right)$ is known,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\alpha)=\left.\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial z^{* m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

or using (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\alpha)=\left.\exp \left\{-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial z^{*}}+\alpha^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{*}}+\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\} F\left(z, z^{*}\right)\right|_{z=0} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a new formula for deriving the $P$ function when $F\left(z, z^{*}\right)$ is known, which differs from (43). For example, when $\rho=a^{\dagger m} a^{n}=: a^{\dagger m} a^{n}$ :, from (53) we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\alpha)=H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the anti-normally ordered expansion of $a^{\dagger m} a^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots H_{m, n}^{*}\left(a, a^{\dagger}\right) \vdots=a^{\dagger m} a^{n} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vdots$ : denoted anti-normally ordering. For another example, when $\rho$ is a pure coherent state $|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma|$, using (53) and (27) and $\langle z \mid \gamma\rangle\langle\gamma \mid z\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\left(z^{*}-\gamma^{*}\right)(z-\gamma)}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P(\alpha) & =\left.\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial z^{* m}} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(z^{*}-\gamma^{*}\right)(z-\gamma)}\right|_{z=0} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma^{*} \gamma} \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) H_{m, n}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{*}\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Using another completeness relation regarding the two-variable Hermite polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} H_{m, n}^{*}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) H_{m, n}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{*}\right)=\pi \delta\left(\gamma^{*}-\alpha^{*}\right) \delta(\gamma-\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma^{*} \gamma} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know that the P-representation of $|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma|$ is $P(\alpha)=\pi \delta\left(\gamma^{*}-\alpha^{*}\right) \delta(\gamma-\alpha)$, as expected. Note that equation (58) can also be derived by using the integration form of $H_{m, n}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right)$ [16].

To sum up, using the IWOP technique we have constructed the operator Fredholm equations for Weyl correspondence and P-representation; we then derive their solutions which provide a new formula for deriving Weyl's classical correspondence and P-representation. In this way, some properties of the two-variable Hermite polynomials can easily be derived. We wish this paper can enrich the fundamental representation theory of the quantum light field, as readers might see that using two-variable (multi-variable) Hermite polynomials the bipartite (multi-particle) entangled state representation can be constructed; thus one has the possibility of having a statistical description of an electromagnetic field in terms of various entangled state representations.

## Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the referees for their careful reading and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 10475056 and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctorial Progress (SRFDP) of Higher Education in China.

## References

[1] Dirac P A M 1958 The Principle of Quantum Mechanics 4th edn (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
[2] Fan H-Y, Lu H-L and Fan Y 2006 Ann. Phys. 321480
Wünsche A 1999 J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 1 R11-21
Fan H-Y 2003 J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5 R147-63
Fan H-Y, Zaidi H R and Klauder J R 1987 Phys. Rev. D 351831
Fan H-Y and Klauder J R 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L725
[3] See, e.g., Walls D F and Milburn G J 1994 Quantum Optics (Berlin: Springer)
Orszag M 2000 Quantum Optics (Berlin: Springer)
Louisell W H 1973 Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation (New York: Wiley)
Scully M O and Zubairy M S 1997 Quantum Optics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
[4] Schleich W P 2001 Quantum Optics in Phase Space (Berlin: Wiley-Vch)
[5] See, e.g., Hochstadt H 1973 Integral Equations (New York: Wiley)
[6] Weyl H 1931 Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik (Leipzig: Hirzel)
Weyl H 1931 The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics (New York: Dover) (new edn 1950) (Engl. Transl.) Weyl H 1927 Z. Phys. 461
[7] Wigner E P 1932 Phys. Rev. 40749
O’Connell R F and Wigner E P 1981 Phys. Lett. A 83145
Hillery M, O’Connell R F, Scully M O and Wigner E P 1984 Phys. Rep. 106 121-67
Vogel K and Risken H 1989 Phys. Rev. A 402847
Agawal G S and Wolf E 1972 Phys. Rev. D 22161
Agawal G S and Wolf E 1972 Phys. Rev. D 22187
Agawal G S and Wolf E 1972 Phys. Rev. D 22206
Bužek V and Knight P L 1995 Prog. Opt. 34 1-158
[8] Glauber R J 1963 Phys. Rev. 1302529
Glauber R J 1963 Phys. Rev. 1312766
[9] Sudarshan E C G 1963 Phys. Rev. Lett. 10277
[10] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B-S 1985 Coherent States (Singapore: World Scientific)
[11] Fan H-Y and Zaidi H R 1987 Phys. Lett. A 123303
Fan H-Y and Ruan T-N 1983 Commun. Theor. Phys. 21563
Fan H-Y and Ruan T-N 1984 Commun. Theor. Phys. 3345
[12] Fan H-Y and Chen J-H 2002 Phys. Lett. A 303311
[13] Erdèlyi A (ed) 1953 Bateman Manuscript Project, Higher Transcendental Functions (New York: McGraw-Hill)
[14] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik L M 1980 Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (New York: Academic)
[15] See, e.g., Mandel L and Wolf E 1995 Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
[16] Fan H-Y 1997 Representation and Transformation Theory in Quantum mechanics-Progress of Dirac's Symbolic Method (Shanghai: Scientific and Technical Publishers)
Fan H-Y 2001 Entangled State Representations in Quantum Mechanics and Their Applications (Shanghai: Shanghai Jiao Tong University Press)
Fan H-Y 2005 From Quantum Mechanics to Quantum Optics-Development of Mathematical Physics (Shanghai: Shanghai Jiao Tong University Press)
[17] Mehta C L 1967 Phys. Rev. Lett. 18752
[18] Man’ko V I and Wünsche A 1997 J. Opt. Phys. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 9381

